Mary Covillaud Elementary School

628 F St. • Marysville, CA 95901 • (530) 741-6121 • Grades K-5 Doug Escheman, Principal descheman@mjusd.com mjusd.com

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year

School Description

Mary Covillaud is one of the finest schools in the Yuba-Sutter area, and we are committed to making a difference in children! In 2006, Mary Covillaud was recognized by the State of California as a Distinguished School. And in 2007, we were recognized as a Title I Academic Achievement School. Since 2008, we have attained 800+ on the California Academic Performance Index. The staff at Mary Covillaud is dedicated and wishes to celebrate your child's successes. The community has bonded with our school and we are proud of the accomplishments, but we need committed parents who want to take part in their child's education to complete our team. Parents are most welcome as learners and participants in the Mary Covillaud Elementary Community. The mission statement with the involvement of parents, teachers, and community states that we provide the highest level of education in a safe, inviting, enjoyable, and caring environment.

Mary Covillaud's Elementary School's mission statement states that "We provide the highest level of education for each child in a safe, inviting, enjoyable, and caring environment."

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The Marysville Joint Unified School District Board of Trustees believes that, in order to maximize student learning and achievement in all subgroups, certificated staff members must be continuously engaged in learning and improving their skills through deep professional learning and collaboration. The district offers a program of ongoing professional development which includes opportunities for teachers to enhance their instructional and classroom management skills and become informed about changes in teaching strategies and subject matter. Along with ongoing grade level articulation and trainings scheduled during and after school, teachers participate in 7 days of professional development on non-instructional days.



District Governing Board

Jeff D. Boom Frank J. Crawford Anthony J. Dannible Jim C. Flurry Glen E. Harris Randy L. Rasmussen Bernard P. Rechs

District Administration

Gay Todd, Ed.D. Superintendent



About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (530) 741-6121 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level	Number of Students			
Kindergarten	96			
Grade 1	92			
Grade 2	90			
Grade 3	88			
Grade 4	70			
Grade 5	59			
Total Enrollment	495			

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	2.6				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2				
Asian	4.6				
Hispanic or Latino	43.4				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6				
White	39				
Two or More Races	6.5				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80.8				
English Learners	19.4				
Students with Disabilities	11.3				
Foster Youth	0.8				

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials							
Mary Covillaud Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16				
With Full Credential	21	22	22				
Without Full Credential	0	0	0				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0				
Marysville Joint Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16				
With Full Credential	•	*	354				
Without Full Credential	•	•	7				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	•	0				

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School								
Mary Covillaud Elementary 13-14 14-15 15-16								
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0					
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0					
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0					

"Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers								
Location of ClassesTaught by Highly Qualified TeachersNot Taught by High Qualified Teachers								
This School	100.0	0.0						
	Districtwide							
All Schools	95.6	4.4						
High-Poverty Schools	95.6	4.5						
Low-Poverty Schools	100.0	0.0						

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

State law, effective July 29, 2009, delayed all instructional materials adoptions and the development of curriculum frameworks and evaluation criteria until the 2015-16 school year. Since then specific legislation has authorized the State Board of Education to conduct adoptions in mathematics (Assembly Bill 1246) and English language arts/English language development (Senate Bill 201). The state adopted mathematics in January 2014, and English language arts/English language development is scheduled to be state adopted in 2016. The Marysville Joint Unified School District provided new state adopted mathematics curriculum beginning in 2014-15 and is slated to provide new English language arts/English language development curriculum in 2016-17.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 2014						
Core Curriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption						
Reading/Language Arts	Open Court, SRA/McGraw Hill (2002) High Point, Hampton Brown (2002) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:					
Mathematics	Go Math!, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (2014) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes				
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				
Science	California Science, MacMillan McGraw Hill (2007) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	Yes 0%				
History-Social Science	History Social Studies for California, Pearson Scott Foresma The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:					
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0%				

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

School Facilit Year and month i					
			Status		Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	E	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	х				
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	х				
Electrical: Electrical	х				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	х				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences			x		Partial exterior needs repainted.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good X	Fair	Poor	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students

Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)

Subject	(grades 3-8 and 11)						
	School	District	State				
ELA	45	30	44				
Math	32	21	33				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School District State								
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	12-13 13-14 14-15		12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	65	55 59 59 48 48 47 59 60 56							56
* Doci	lte ara f	or grada		ad 10 c		o not ch	ouro urb.	on tha n	umbor

Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2014-15 Percent o	f Students Meeting	Fitness Standards				
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6						
5	14.00	21.10	14.00				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)			
All Students in the LEA	47			
All Student at the School	59			
Male	70			
Female	45			
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Hispanic or Latino	51			
White	78			
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners	27			
Students with Disabilities	56			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
		Number o	Number of Students Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	90	88	97.8	23	22	32	24
	4	67	67	100.0	39	25	22	13
	5	59	57	96.6	33	26	30	11
Male	3	90	43	47.8	23	28	28	21
	4	67	34	50.7	44	21	29	6
	5	59	33	55.9	39	27	33	0
Female	3	90	45	50.0	22	16	36	27
	4	67	33	49.3	33	30	15	21
	5	59	24	40.7	25	25	25	25
Black or African American	3	90	2	2.2				
	4	67	4	6.0				

		Number of Students		Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	90	1	1.1					
	4	67	1	1.5					
	5	59	2	3.4					
Asian	3	90	3	3.3					
	4	67	4	6.0					
	5	59	2	3.4					
Hispanic or Latino	3	90	40	44.4	25	28	35	13	
	4	67	22	32.8	50	32	9	9	
	5	59	29	49.2	31	28	34	7	
White	3	90	34	37.8	15	18	32	35	
	4	67	33	49.3	39	18	27	15	
	5	59	22	37.3	23	27	32	18	
Two or More Races	3	90	7	7.8					
	4	67	2	3.0					
	5	59	2	3.4					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	90	65	72.2	29	25	29	17	
	4	67	50	74.6	44	30	18	8	
	5	59	49	83.1	37	29	27	8	
Students with Disabilities	3	90	9	10.0					
	4	67	4	6.0					
	5	59	5	8.5					
Students Receiving Migrant Education	3	90	1	1.1					
Services	5	59	3	5.1					
Foster Youth	3								
	4								
	5								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Dis					ilts - Mathemat rough Eight and			
			f Students			ercent of Studer	its	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	90	88	97.8	16	35	35	14
	4	67	67	100.0	30	48	21	1
	5	59	57	96.6	60	25	11	5
Male	3	90	43	47.8	14	40	35	12
	4	67	34	50.7	18	59	21	3
	5	59	33	55.9	61	24	6	9
Female	3	90	45	50.0	18	31	36	16
	4	67	33	49.3	42	36	21	0
	5	59	24	40.7	58	25	17	0
Black or African American	3	90	2	2.2				
	4	67	4	6.0				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	90	1	1.1				
	4	67	1	1.5				
	5	59	2	3.4				
Asian	3	90	3	3.3				
	4	67	4	6.0				
	5	59	2	3.4				
Hispanic or Latino	3	90	40	44.4	15	53	28	5
	4	90 67	40 22	32.8	45	55 41	28 14	0
	5	59	22	49.2	62	28	3	7
White	2							
	3 4	90 67	34 33	37.8 49.3	9 21	24 58	44 21	24 0
	5	59	22	49.3 37.3	50	23	21	5
Two or More Races								
	3	90 67	7	7.8				
	4	67 59	2 2	3.0 3.4				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged								
sociocconomicany Disauvantageu	3	90	65	72.2	20	40	35	5
	4	67	50	74.6	36	46	16	2
	5	59	49	83.1	65	20	8	6
Students with Disabilities	3	90	9	10.0				
	4	67	4	6.0				
	5	59	5	8.5				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3	90	1	1.1				
	5	59	3	5.1				

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
		Number of Students Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

The involvement of parents at Covillaud School continues to increase. Parent communications and projects have become part of the school environment. A monthly school newsletter is sent home. The weekly Covillaud Scoop is sent home to parents informing them as what is taking place every day at school. Four meetings have been established through out the school year where the principal meets only with the parents. This meeting has been named Coffee and Tea with Mr. E. Parent nights welcome hundreds to the school for dinner and the opportunity to spend time in the classrooms playing games using technology, literacy, and computation skills. Workshops have been incorporated to help parents hone parenting skills. Parent conferences were held the first month of school to help parents understand the direction of the school and what we expect from their children. Parents are encouraged to work in the classrooms. We are proud of our parents and hope to continue our growth with their support and contributions to their child's education.

Student Success Coordinator: Miranda Southward(530) 741-6121 ext. 4016

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

• Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Each school site has adopted a Comprehensive Safe School Plan to ensure our school environment is conducive to academic achievement. It is a working document reviewed on a regular basis and has been developed through our School Safety Planning Committee (SSC). This Planning committee is composed of school staff, district staff, community members, and local law enforcement. We work closely with other school administrators to ensure that all school safety plans are consistent throughout the district. Improvements and revisions are made at our monthly safety meetings with local law enforcement.

Signs are visibly posted throughout the school requiring all non-school employees to register at the office. All staff members are trained to stop and question any person who is not wearing an identifying badge issued from the office. The Site Council formally adopts the Safe School Plan, and it is board approved before March 1 every year. The plan includes the following major elements: safe school goals, school crime assessment, safe school strategies and programs, child abuse reporting procedures, disaster response procedures, suspension and expulsion policy, teacher safety, sexual harassment policy, district tobacco policy - safe school drug and tobacco education, dress code, safety standards for arrival and departure, and school discipline.

The safety of our students is our top priority. Each month, our students and staff participate in safety drills designed to address potential emergencies at our school including fire evacuation, earthquake drop and cover, and lock downs in the event of an on-campus intruder. Catapult EMS is a communication system used during emergencies to inform staff, the District Safety Team, and law enforcement of a school incident or crisis. The system uses real-time student accounting, staff location check-ins, threat report management, and messaging through text messages and emails.

Suspensions and Expulsions					
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
Suspensions Rate	3.87	2.96	3.99		
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00		
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
Suspensions Rate	10.90	9.33	8.43		
Expulsions Rate	0.64	0.56	0.56		
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80		
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09		

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria								
AYP Criteria	School	District	State					
English Language Arts								
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Mathe	Mathematics							
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes					

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	School	District			
Program Improvement Status	Not in Pl	In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	16				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	72.7				

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
	Average Class Size					Number of Classrooms*							
	Average Ci	ass size		1-20			21-32			33+			
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
К	27	21	24		1		4	3	4				
1	26	28	23				4	4	4				
2	22	21	21	1	2	1	3	2	3				
3	22	26	24	1		1	2	3	3				
4	33	34	34							2	2	2	
5	33	31	31				1	2	2	1			
Other	11	12		1	1								

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School					
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)					
Academic Counselor 0.					
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.00				
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.00				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.875				
Psychologist	0.40				
Social Worker	0.00				
Nurse	0.00				
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.00				
Resource Specialist	0.00				
Other	0.75				
Average Number of Students per Staff Member					
Academic Counselor	0.00				
* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member w	orking full time				

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,063	\$42,315				
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$61,403	\$66,451				
Highest Teacher Salary	\$88,480	\$85,603				
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$105,478	\$105,079				
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$108,050	\$111,005				
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$123,452	\$121,310				
Superintendent Salary	\$168,000	\$189,899				
Percent of District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	37%	39%				
Administrative Salaries	6%	6%				

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
Level	Expo	Average				
Level	Total	Restricted	Teacher Salary			
School Site	\$6,992	\$1,953	\$5,039	\$62,601		
District	•	•	\$5,533	\$64,386		
State	• •		\$5,348	\$69,257		
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-8.9	-2.8			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-5.8	-9.6			
* Cells with	♦ do not require	e data.				

Types of Services Funded

The district receives revenue limit and other apportionments to provide direct instruction and support to students. These monies pay for teachers, site administration, district office personnel, maintenance, transportation, special education, and all operating expenses. Each site receives a discretionary allocation for site operations. Sites are not responsible for utilities or facility operations. Sites also receive support services such as counseling, health, psychological, and routine maintenance. School sites receive additional monies for supplemental academic interventions directed to targeted students who are not preforming at grade level.